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Some notes may deviate from what we learned in course. Use at your own risk.

## 1 Optimization

An optimization problem looks like:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{x \in S} f(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f$ is called objective function. The components of $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are the decision variables. $S$ is the constraint set or feasible set. $x^{*}=\operatorname{argmin}_{x \in S} f(x)$ is called the minimizer.

Rather than writing in argmax/argmin form, I'll write the optimization into the following form:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\max _{x} & f(x) \\
\text { s.t. } & g_{i}(x)=0, \quad i \in \mathcal{I}  \tag{2}\\
& h_{j}(x) \geq 0, \quad j \in \mathcal{J}
\end{array}
$$

### 1.1 Linear Programming

A function $l(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is called linear if $l(x)$ is a linear combination of the components $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$. That is, we can find a vector $c \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $l(x)=c^{T} x$. Property: $l(\alpha x)=\alpha l(x)$ and $l(x+y)=l(x)+l(y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

The graph of a linear function $l(x)=c^{T} x, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is an $n$-dimensional plane living in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. For example, consider $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $l(x)=c x$ is a line in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Definition 1 (Level Sets) We call $\{x \mid g(x)=\alpha\}$ the $\alpha$-level set of function $g(x)$.
Definition 2 (Hyperplane) We call $\left\{x \mid c^{T} x=\alpha\right\}, c \neq 0$ a hyperplane, which is a $n-1$ dimensional hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Definition 3 (Half-Space) We call $\left\{x \mid c^{T} x \geq \alpha\right\}, c \neq 0$ a half space. $c$ is the outer-norm of the half-space.

Standard form of LP:

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{x} & c^{T} x \\
\text { s.t. } & A x=b  \tag{3}\\
& x \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, c \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. The constraint $x \geq 0$ denotes $x_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i=$ $1, \cdots, n$.

Now we might have a question, what if the given problem is not the standard form? For example, consider the following optimization problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{x_{1}, x_{2}} & c_{1} x_{1}+c_{2} x_{2}  \tag{4}\\
\text { s.t. } & 2 x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 12
\end{align*}
$$

Then we can introduce four non-negative variables: $y_{1}, z_{1}, y_{2}, z_{2}$, such that

$$
x_{1}=y_{1}-z_{1}, \quad x_{2}=y_{2}-z_{2}
$$

Hence, we can rewrite the optimization problem (4) into the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{y_{1}, y_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2}} & c_{1} y_{1}+c_{2} y_{2}-c_{1} z_{1}-c_{2} z_{2} \\
\text { s.t. } & 2 y_{1}+y_{2}-2 z_{1}-z_{2} \leq 12  \tag{5}\\
& y_{1}, y_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2} \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, introduce $w \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{y_{1}, y_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2}, w} & c_{1} y_{1}+c_{2} y_{2}-c_{1} z_{1}-c_{2} z_{2} \\
\text { s.t. } & 2 y_{1}+y_{2}-2 z_{1}-z_{2}+w=12  \tag{6}\\
& y_{1}, y_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2}, w \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

That is, we can add more decision variables into the optimization problem to convert it into standard form. These additional variables are called surplus and slack variables. Summary of procedures:

1. Introduce non-negative variables ( $x \geq 0$ in standard form)
2. Convert inequalities into equalities. $A x \leq b$ can be converted into $A x+y=b$ for $y \geq 0$. ( $A x \geq b$ can be written as $A x=b+y$ ).

Skip this if you want. Simplex Method. For more details, take a look at chapter 2 and chapter 3 of [T]

Definition $4 A$ point $x$ in a convex set $C$ is said to be an extreme point of $C$ if there are no two distinct points $x_{1}, x_{2} \in C$ such that $x=\alpha x_{1}+(1-\alpha) x_{2}$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$.

Definition 5 (Polytope, Polyhedron) A set which can be expressed as the intersection of a finite number of closed half spaces is said to be a convex polytope. A nonempty bounded polytope is called a polyhedron.

### 1.2 Non-linear Optimization

Example 1 (Markowitz Mean-Variance Optimization) Let $x_{i}$ be the proportion of the portfolio invested in asset $i$, and $\mu_{i}$ be the expected return of asset $i$. Moreover, let $x$ and $\mu$ denote corresponding vector of $x_{i}$ and $\mu_{i}$. $\Sigma$ is the covariance matrix of stocks, i.e.

$$
\Sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\sigma_{1}^{2} & \rho_{12} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} & \cdots & \rho_{1 n} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{n} \\
\rho_{21} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \cdots & \rho_{2 n} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\rho_{n 1} \sigma_{n} \sigma_{1} & \rho_{n 2} \sigma_{n} \sigma_{2} & \cdots & \sigma_{n}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For simplicity, we denote

$$
e=(1,1, \cdots, 1)^{T}
$$

Therefore, the portfolio has expectation and variance:

$$
\mathbb{E}[x]=\mu^{T} x \quad \operatorname{Var}[x]=x^{T} \Sigma x
$$

The optimization problem is (we allow short-sale here.)

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\min _{x} & x^{T} \Sigma x \\
\text { s.t. } & \mu^{T} x \geq R  \tag{7}\\
& e^{T} x=1
\end{array}
$$

Solution: Now we will use matrix calculus and KKT to help us to solve this problem: The Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=x^{T} \Sigma x+\lambda\left(e^{T} x-1\right)+v\left(R-\mu^{T} x\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda, v$ are Lagrange multipliers. We have

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x}=2 \Sigma x+\lambda e-v_{1} \mu=0
$$

Hence

$$
x^{*}=\Sigma^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} v \mu-\frac{1}{2} \lambda e\right)
$$

If $\mu^{T} x>R$, then $v=0$ due to complementary slackness condition. However, since $\Sigma$ is positive semi-definite, then so does $\Sigma^{-1} . v=0$ will lead to $x^{*} \leq 0$, which is not feasible. So $\mu^{T} x=R$.

Therefore, we will get the following equation system from KKT:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \Sigma & e & \mu  \tag{9}\\
e^{T} & 0 & 0 \\
\mu^{T} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
\lambda \\
v
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
R
\end{array}\right)
$$

Solving this system we get the optimal $x^{*}$ :

Remark 1 When non-negative constraint is added, there is no closed-form solution for this problem.

There are some (numerical) methods to handle non-linear optimization problem:

- (Steepest) descent method (calculus based)
- Newton's method (calculus based)
- Interior point methods
- Sequential quadratic programming


### 1.2.1 Gradient-Descent

The steepest descent direction for objective function is $-\nabla f(x)$, i.e. negative gradient direction. Steps:

1. Start with location that is a guess of the minimizer: $x^{0}$
2. Move a certain distance in $-\nabla f\left(x^{0}\right)$, call it $x^{1}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{1}=x^{0}-\alpha \nabla f\left(x^{0}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Iterate by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k}=x^{k-1}-\alpha \nabla f\left(x^{k-1}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

actually, $\alpha$ can be varying.

### 1.2.2 Newton's Method

Newton's method is to solve root for a (nonlinear) function $g(x)$. Recall the first order condition is just $\nabla f(x)=0$. So we can use Newton's method to find root for gradient, therefore it might be possible minimum/maximum of objective function.

Algorithm for find root for $g(x)$ (univariate):

1. Start with $x^{0}$
2. Iterate

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k+1}=x^{k}-\frac{g\left(x^{k}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Stop iterations if $\left|g\left(x^{k}\right)\right|$ is small or $\left|x^{k}-x^{k-1}\right|$ is small.

For univariate optimization problem: $\min _{x} f(x)$ :

1. Start with $x^{0}$
2. Iterate

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k+1}=x^{k}-\frac{f^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right)}{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{k}\right)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Stop iterations if $\left|f^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right)\right|$ is small or $\left|x^{k}-x^{k-1}\right|$ is small.

In multivariate case, first order derivative is gradient, second order derivative is Hessian matrix. Therefore, if we want to find root for $G(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we can do iteration:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k+1}=x^{k}-\left[\nabla G\left(x^{k}\right)\right]^{-1} G\left(x^{k}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} F(x)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k+1}=x^{k}-\left[\nabla^{2} F\left(x^{k}\right)\right]^{-1} \nabla F\left(x^{k}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\nabla^{2} F(x)=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{i} \partial_{j}}\right)_{i j}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{n}} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{n}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{n} \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{n} \partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

I wrote a tutorial for gradient descent and Newton's method. One can find it at Tutorial.
Example 2 (IRR) (Find definition of IRR at your corporate finance textbook). The IRR of a bond is called its yield. Suppose that a non-callable bond has a maturity of 4 years. The par(face) value is 1000 and the price today is 900 . The coupon rate is $10 \%$, annually. Calculate the yield of this bond.

Solution: We just have to calculate root for following function:

$$
g(r)=\frac{100}{1+r}+\frac{100}{(1+r)^{2}}+\frac{100}{(1+r)^{3}}+\frac{1100}{(1+r)^{4}}-900
$$

Choose one programming language to do it!
The Quadratic Programming(QP) problem is a simple nonlinear constrained optimization problem. Standard form of QP:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\min _{x} & \frac{1}{2} x^{T} Q x+c^{T} x \\
\text { s.t. } & A x=b  \tag{16}\\
& x \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$.

### 1.2.3 Interior Point Method

## 2 Discrete Time Option Pricing

### 2.1 Introduction to Derivatives

A derivative is a financial instrument whose value depends on the value of some underlying asset or assets (in the primary market). In other words, the value of the derivative is derived from that of the underlying asset or assets. Derivatives are also called contingent claims because their value is contingent on the value of an underlying asset or assets.

Some examples of derivatives: Options, Forward Contracts, Futures, Swaps.
Forward Contract: holder of the long position promises to pay the forward price $F$ in exchange for one unit of the asset (eg, a ton of oranges) from the holder of the short position at time $T$. The forward contract does not cost anything at time 0 to either party. The payoff of the forward contract is $S_{T}-F$.

### 2.2 Binomial Model

Time is discrete: $t=0,1,2, \cdots$. Two assets:

- Bond: riskless asset. We denote $B_{t}=\left(1+r_{0}\right)^{t}=R^{t}$ the value of one unit of bond at time $t$.
- Stock: risky asset. We denote $S_{t}$ the value of one share of stock at time $t$. $S_{t}=$ $S_{t-1} \xi_{t}$, where $\left\{\xi_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}$ are i.i.d with

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{t}=u\right)=p, \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{t}=d\right)=1-p, \quad \text { for } 0<p<1
$$

No arbitrage condition: $0<d<R<u$. (Prove that).
Recombining tree has $T+1$ nodes while non-recombining tree has $2^{T}$ nodes.

### 2.3 European Option: Pricing and Hedging

The final payoff of European Call option is $X=\max \left(S_{T}-K, 0\right)$.
Definition 6 A trading strategy in the binomial model is a sequence of pairs of random variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\left\{\left(\Delta_{t}, \beta_{t}\right): t=1,2, \cdots, T\right\} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{t}=\#$ of shares of stock held over $(t-1, t]$, and $\beta_{t}=\#$ units of bond held over $(t-1, t]$. $\Delta_{t}, \beta_{t}$ are real-valued functions of $S_{0}, \cdots, S_{t-1}$, which are non-anticipating. The value of strategy at time $t$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{t}(\varphi)=\Delta_{t} S_{t}+\beta_{t} B_{t} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 7 (Self-Financing Trading Strategy) If $\left\{\left(\Delta_{t}, \beta_{t}\right)\right\}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{t} S_{t}+\beta_{t} B_{t}=\Delta_{t+1} S_{t}+\beta_{t+1} B_{t} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we call this trading strategy is self-financing.
For a European derivative with final payoff $X$, a replicating strategy (or hedging strategy) is $\varphi=\left\{\left(\Delta_{t}, \beta_{t}\right)\right\}$ such that $V_{T}(\varphi)=X$.

Definition 8 (Arbitrage) An arbitrage opportunity is an opportunity for a risk free profit, i.e., one can start with no money and invest (using borrowing and short selling as needed) in such a way that ones final wealth is always non-negative and is strictly positive with positive probability.

Theorem 1 If $\varphi$ is a replicating strategy for a European derivative with final payoff $X$, then the (unique) arbitrage-free initial price for this derivative is $V_{0}(\varphi)$.

Steps: See the following slide:

## ZOOM IN TO THE LAST STEP GIVEN S $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}-1}$



Figure 1: Binomial Model Dynamic Replicating Formulas

### 2.4 Risk-Neutral Probability

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{*}=\frac{R-d}{u-d} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{T-1, j}=\frac{1}{R} \mathbb{E}^{*}\left[C_{T} \mid S_{0}, \cdots, S_{T-1}\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The deep thing behind risk neutral probability is the FTAP(Fundamental Theorem of Asset Pricing). We will take about it in Spring quarter (MGTF411).

### 2.5 American Option

For American type derivatives, let $Z_{t}$ be the payoff value at time $t=0,1, \cdots, T$. Then $Z_{t} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}$, which is non-anticipating(adapted). For example, American put option has payoff $Z_{t}=\left(K-S_{t}\right)^{+}$.

Definition 9 (Minimal Superhedging Strategy) A self-financing strategy $\varphi$ such that $V_{t}(\varphi) \geq$ $Z_{t}$ for $t=0, \cdots, T$ and $V_{0}(\varphi)$ is as small as possible.

Let $P_{t}$ be the minimal amount needed at time $t$ to cover the payoff value of the option at times $t, \cdots, T$. Good stopping time for buyer is $\tau=\min \left(t: P_{t}=Z_{t}\right)$.

Note: $V_{t}\left(\varphi^{*}\right)=P_{t}$, for $0 \leq t \leq \tau^{*}$. But we only know $V_{t}\left(\varphi^{*}\right) \geq P_{t}$ for $t \geq \tau^{*}$.


Stock and bond holdings over ( $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}+1$ ] to cover FUTURE payoffs

$$
\widetilde{\Delta}_{t+1, j}=\frac{\delta P_{t+1}}{\delta S_{t+1}}=\frac{P_{t+1, j+1}-P_{t+1, j}}{S_{t, j}(u-d)} \quad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{t+1, j}=\frac{1}{R^{t+1}}\left[\frac{u P_{t+1, j}-d P_{t+1, j+1}}{u-d}\right]
$$

To make a SELF-FINANCING superhedging strategy, we may need to add something to $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{t+1, j}$, which accounts for money that might be carried forward (as extra bonds) if the put is not cashed in before time $\boldsymbol{t + 1}$.

## Define

$\widetilde{\delta}_{t+1, j}=P_{t, j}-U_{t, j}$ (this is money not \#bonds, use it to buy $\frac{\widetilde{\delta}_{t+1, j}}{B_{t}}$ bonds just after $t$ and hold them till $T$ )
Note: this is only positive if $\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}>\boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$, i. e., the current put payoff exceeds the amount needed to cover future payoffs)
(Self-financing) Superhedging Strategy: $\varphi^{*}=\left\{\left(\Delta_{t}^{*}, \beta_{t}^{*}\right): t=1, \ldots, T\right\}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{t+1}^{*}=\widetilde{\Delta}_{t+1, j} \quad \boldsymbol{\beta}_{t+1}^{*}=\widetilde{\beta}_{t+1}+\left(\frac{\widetilde{\delta}_{t+1}}{B_{t}}+\frac{\widetilde{\delta}_{t}}{B_{t-1}}+\cdots+\frac{\widetilde{\delta}_{1}}{B_{0}}\right) \\
\tau^{*}=\text { good stopping time for the buyer of the put }=\min \left\{t: P_{t}=Z_{t}\right\} \\
\text { Then } P_{t}=U_{t} \text { for } t<\tau^{*} \text { and } \widetilde{\delta}_{t}=0 \text { for } t \leq \tau^{*} \\
\text { and } V_{\tau^{*}}\left(\varphi^{*}\right)=P_{\tau^{*}}=V_{\tau^{*}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Figure 2: Binomial Model Dynamic Replicating Formulas for American Option
Remark: When we are asked to calculate superhedging portfolio, please don't forget to write down $\delta$.

## 3 Monte Carlo Method

The European derivative has final payoff:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=F\left(\left\{S_{t}: 0 \leq t \leq T\right\}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $\mathbb{E}^{*}[|X|]<\infty$. Then the unique arbitrage free initial price for a European derivative is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}=e^{-r T} \mathbb{E}^{*}[X]=e^{-r T} \mathbb{E}^{*}\left[F\left(S_{t}: 0 \leq t \leq T\right)\right] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two aspects to approximating the value $V_{0}$ :

1. (Static Monte Carlo): Given independent samples $X^{(1)}, \ldots, X^{(n)}$ of random variable $X$ and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}=e^{-r T} \mathbb{E}^{*}[X] \approx e^{-r T} \frac{1}{n} \sigma_{i=1}^{n} X^{(i)} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. (Dynamic Monte Carlo): If $X$ is path dependent, then we need to approximate $F\left(\left\{S_{t}: 0 \leq t \leq T\right\}\right)$ by $F\left(S_{t_{0}}, \cdots, S_{t_{n}}\right)$ for $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{m}=T, t_{j}=$ $j \Delta t, \Delta_{t}=T / m$. Given independent samples $\left(S_{t_{0}}^{(i)}, \cdots, S_{t_{n}}^{(i)}\right), i=1, \cdots, n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0} \approx e^{-r T} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{F}\left(S_{t_{0}}^{(i)}, \cdots, S_{t_{n}}^{(i)}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1 Static Monte Carlo

Theorem 2 (SLLN) Suppose that $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}$ are i.i.d random variables(provided finite second moments). Then we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}\left[X_{1}\right], \quad \text { a.s. } \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{X}=\left(X^{(1)}, \cdots, X^{(n)}\right)$ be a random vector, with independent and identically distributed components $X^{(i)}$ each given by distribution function $F_{X}$. Then the sample mean of $X$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\theta}_{n}(\boldsymbol{X})=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X^{(i)} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Unbiased:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\theta}_{n}(\boldsymbol{X})\right]=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[X^{(i)}\right]=\mathbb{E}[X] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The variance of estimator is smaller than the variance of $X$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left[\hat{\theta}_{n}(\boldsymbol{X})\right]=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(X^{(i)}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{Var}(X)}{n} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that by CLT,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}=\frac{\hat{\theta}_{n}(X)-\theta}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X) / n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0,1) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Simulating Random Variables

We have to use pseudo-random-sample generated by deterministic computes algorithms as approximate random numbers. The core is to generate uniform distribution on $[0,1]$. Steps:

1. Select a relative larger $m$.
2. Seed $x_{0} \in\{1, \cdots, m\}$
3. $x_{i+1}=a x_{i}+c(\bmod m)$, where $0<a<m, 0 \leq c<m$. Often take $c=0$, $m$ prime, $a^{m-1}-1$ is a multiple of $m$ but $a^{j-1}-1$ is not a multiple of $m, j=$ $1, \cdots, m-2$.
4. $u_{i+1}=x_{i+1} / m$.

### 3.3 Variance Reduction

Recall: For two random vairables $X$ and $Y$, the covariance is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=\mathbb{E}[X Y]-\mathbb{E}[X] \mathbb{E}[Y] \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correlation between them is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(Y)}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3.1 Antithetic Variables

Suppose that $Y$ is a random variable and $g$ is aa monotone function (either increasing or decreasing). We are seeking to approximate $\theta=\mathbb{E}[g(Y)]$ (assume $g(Y)$ has finite mean). The standard static Monte Carlo would approxiamate $\theta$ by sample mean:

$$
\hat{\theta}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(Y^{(i)}\right)
$$

where $g\left(Y^{(i)}\right)$ are i.i.d with same distribution of $g(Y)$.
Key ideas of antithetic variables: instead of $Y^{(i)}$ i.i.d, we can use pairs of random variables $\left(Y^{(i)}, \tilde{Y}^{(i)}\right)$ that are independent and i.i.d but $Y^{(i)}$ and $\tilde{Y}^{(i)}$ are usually negatively dependent and have same distribution as $Y$.

Given an antithetic sequence of pairs: $\left\{\left(Y^{(i)}, \tilde{Y}^{(i)}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ that are i.i.d with $Y^{(i)} \sim \tilde{Y}^{(i)} \sim$ $Y$ and $\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y^{(i)}, \tilde{Y}^{(i)}\right)<0$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\theta}_{a v}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(Y^{(i)}\right)+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(\tilde{Y}^{(i)}\right)\right] \approx \theta \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has variance

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\theta}_{a v}\right) & =\frac{1}{4 n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(g\left(Y^{(i)}\right)+g\left(\tilde{Y}^{(i)}\right)\right)  \tag{34}\\
& =\frac{\operatorname{Var}(Y)}{2 n}+\frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{Cov}\left(g\left(Y^{(1)}\right), g\left(\tilde{Y}^{(1)}\right)\right)<\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\theta}_{2 n}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.3.2 Importance Sampling

The standard Monte Carlo is particularly inefficient whenever the important values of $g(Y)$ occur with low probability (takes a large $n$ to significantly sample these values).

Idea of importance sampling:

- Replace the probability density function for the distribution of $Y$ by a density whose samples are more likely to fall in the region of importance for $g$.
- Draw Monte Carlo samples from the new density, evaluate theses using $g$, and weight these values appropriately to compensate for tilting the distribution of $Y$.
Suppose that $Y$ has probability density function $f$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\mathbb{E}[g(Y)]=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) f(y) \mathrm{d} y \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $h$ is another probability density function which puts more weight on the important regions for $g$, and which is positive whenever $f$ is positive.

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\mathbb{E}^{f}[g(Y)]=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \frac{f(y)}{h(y)} h(y) \mathrm{d} y=\mathbb{E}^{h}[k(Y)] \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k(y)=g(y) f(y) / h(y)$. And then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\theta}_{n}^{h}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(Y^{(i)}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variance of this estimation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}^{h}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{Var}^{h}(k(Y))}{n}=\frac{1}{n}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{2}(y)\left(\frac{f(y)}{h(y)}\right)^{2} h(y) \mathrm{d} y-\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) f(y) \mathrm{d} y\right)^{2}\right] \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The optimal $h$ is $g(y) f(y) / \int g(z) f(z) \mathrm{d} z$. Not useful, but we can find a $h$ close to $g \times f$.

### 3.4 Greeks

Suppose that $X=H\left(S_{T}\right)$ with $\mathbb{E}^{*}[|X|]<\infty$ and $H$ "nice". The value of a hedging strategy at time $t$ when $S_{t}=x$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t, x)=e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}^{*}\left[H\left(S_{T}\right) \mid S_{t}=x\right] \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sensitivity of this value to changes in $x, t, \sigma$ are given by the Greeks:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{t}(X)=\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}, \quad \Gamma_{t}(x)=\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}, \quad \theta_{t}(x)=\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{t}(x)=\frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.5 Simulation of Sample Paths

A brief introduction of Stochastic Calculus:
Theorem 3 (Itô's Lemma) Suppose that $f\left(t, W_{t}\right)$ is a nice function, where $W_{t}$ is a Brownian Motion. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t, W_{t}\right)=f\left(0, W_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}\left(s, W_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\left(s, W_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} W_{s}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}\left(s, W_{s}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(W_{s}\right)^{2} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to SDE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} S_{t}=r S_{t} \mathrm{~d} t+\sigma S_{t} \mathrm{~d} W_{t} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{t}=S_{0} \exp \left\{\left(r-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\right) t+\sigma W_{t}\right\} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Delta t=T / N, t_{j}=j \Delta t, j=0, \cdots, N$. Then define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{t_{j}}=\tilde{S}_{t_{j-1}} \exp \left\{\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t} Z_{j}+\left(r-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\right) \Delta t\right\} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{N}$ are i.i.d $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$. We can approximate $F\left(S_{t}, 0 \leq t \leq T\right)$ by $\underset{\tilde{F}\left(\tilde{S}_{t}, 0 \leq\right.}{ }$ $t \leq T)$. If $X$ is path dependent, need to approximate $X=F\left(S_{t}, 0 \leq t \leq T\right)$ by $\tilde{F}\left(\tilde{S}_{t_{j}}, 0 \leq\right.$ $j \leq N$ ).

### 3.6 Simulating Solution to a SDE

Itô diffusion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\mu\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} W_{t} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Idea: $\Delta X_{t} \approx \mu\left(t, X_{t}\right) \Delta t+\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right) \Delta W_{t}$.

1. Euler Scheme: Fix $N>0$, let $\Delta_{t}=T / N$ and $t_{j}=j \Delta t, j=0,1, \cdots, N$. Recursely define

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{X}_{t_{j}}=\tilde{X}_{t_{j-1}}+\mu\left(t_{j-1}, \tilde{X}_{t_{j-1}}\right) \Delta t+\sigma\left(t_{j-1}, \tilde{X}_{t_{j-1}}\right) \sqrt{\Delta t} Z_{j}  \tag{46}\\
\tilde{X}_{0}=X_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $Z_{j}, j=1, \cdots, N$ i.i.d $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.
Error estimates: If $\mu$ and $\sigma$ satisfy for all $x, y$ and $s, t \in[0, T]$

- $|\mu(t, x)-\mu(t, y)|+|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)| \leq C_{1}|x-y|$ (uniform)


## 4 Partial Differential Equations
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## Appendix A: Matrix Calculus

## A. 1 Scalar Function

Suppose that $f(X)$ is a scalar function of matrix $X(m \times n)$. Then the total derivative of $f$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} f=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_{i j}} \mathrm{~d} X_{i j}=\operatorname{tr}\left({\frac{\partial f^{T}}{\partial X}}^{d} X\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can use this formula to find the derivative. Here are some properties:

1. $\mathrm{d}(X \pm Y)=\mathrm{d} X \pm \mathrm{d} Y$
2. $\mathrm{d}(X Y)=(\mathrm{d} X) Y+X(\mathrm{~d} Y)$
3. $\mathrm{d}\left(X^{T}\right)=(\mathrm{d} X)^{T}$
4. $\mathrm{d}(\operatorname{tr}(X))=\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{d} X)$
5. Inverse: $\mathrm{d} X^{-1}=-X^{-1}(\mathrm{~d} X) X^{-1}$. Sketch of proof: Take differentiation at BHS of $X X^{-1}=I$.
6. Determinant: $\mathrm{d}|X|=\operatorname{tr}\left(X^{*} \mathrm{~d} X\right)$, where $X^{*}$ is the adjugate matrix of $X$. When $X$ is invertible, then $\mathrm{d}|X|=|X| \operatorname{tr}\left(X^{-1} \mathrm{~d} X\right)$.
7. $\mathrm{d}(X \odot Y)=\mathrm{d} X \odot Y+X \odot \mathrm{~d} Y$, where $\odot$ denotes element-wise product, (or Hadamard product, etc.), i.e. $(A \odot B)_{i j}=(A)_{i j}(B)_{i j}$
8. Element-wise Function: suppose that $\sigma(X):=\left[\sigma\left(X_{i j}\right)\right] . \sigma^{\prime}(X):=\left[\sigma^{\prime}\left(X_{i j}\right)\right]$. Then $\mathrm{d} \sigma(X)=\sigma^{\prime}(X) \odot \mathrm{d} X$. For example:

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X_{11} & X_{12} \\
X_{21} & X_{22}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathrm{d} \sin (X)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\cos X_{11} \mathrm{~d} X_{11} & \cos X_{12} \mathrm{~d} X_{12} \\
\cos X_{21} \mathrm{~d} X_{21} & \cos X_{22} \mathrm{~d} X_{22}
\end{array}\right)=\cos (X) \odot \mathrm{d} X
$$

Some tricks for trace:

1. For scalar, $a=\operatorname{tr}(a)$
2. $\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{T}\right)=\operatorname{tr}(A)$
3. Linearity: $\operatorname{tr}(A \pm B)=\operatorname{tr}(A) \pm \operatorname{tr}(B)$
4. Multiplication: $\operatorname{tr}(A B)=\mathrm{BA}$, where $A$ has the same size of $B^{T}$.
5. $\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{T}(B \odot C)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left((A \odot B)^{T} C\right)$, where $A, B, C$ has the same dimension.

Ok now let's begin to look at some examples.
Example 3 Suppose that $f=\boldsymbol{a}^{T} X \boldsymbol{b}$, where $\boldsymbol{a}$ is $a m \times 1$ vector while $\boldsymbol{b}$ is $\boldsymbol{a} n \times 1$ vector. Find $\frac{\partial f}{\partial X}$

## Appendix B: Lagrange multiplier, KKT

## B. 1 Gradient

